Arthur A. Hartinger (SBN: 121521)					
Geoffrey Spellberg (SBN: 121079)	ahartinger@meyersnave.com Geoffrey Spellberg (SBN: 121079)				
2 gspellberg@meyersnave.com	gspellberg@meyersnave.com				
Linda M. Ross (SBN: 133874) lross@meyersnave.com					
Jennifer L. Nock (SBN: 160663) 4 jnock@meyersnave.com	Jennifer L. Nock (SBN: 160663)				
Michael C. Hughes (SBN: 215694)					
MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON	initighes(a) meyershave.com				
6 555 12 th Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, California 94607	555 12 th Street, Suite 1500				
7 Telephone: (510) 808-2000	Telephone: (510) 808-2000				
8 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108	Facsimile: (510) 444-1108				
9 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Plaintiff City of San Jose and Defendant Debra Figone,					
in Her Official Capacity					
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE					
11 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA					
12					
13 SAN JOSE POLICE OFFICERS Case No. 1-12-CV-225926	•				
[Consolidated with Case N Plaintiff, 112CV226570, 112CV226					
and 112CV23360]	,, 1120, 22, 601,				
16 CITY OF SAN JOSE, BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR POLICE AND SUPPLEMENTAL MOT					
17 FIRE RETIREMENT PLAN OF CITY OF TO EXCLUDE AFSCMI	E WITNESSES				
SAN JOSE, and DOES 1-10 inclusive, CAROL GARCIA AND FROM TESTIFYING A					
Defendants.					
Date; July 12, 2013					
AND CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS Dept.: 2					
21					
	e 6, 2012				
	22, 2013				
24					
25	*.				
23	4.				
26					

CITY OF SAN JOSE'S SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE AFSCME TRIAL WITNESSES

Case No. 1-12-CV-225926

The City requests the Court exclude AFSCME witnesses Carol Garcia and Peggy Horning from testifying at trial because AFSCME has refused to timely produce them for deposition.

Relevant Facts

In its April 23, 2013 Pre-Trial Order, the Court ordered that, "[a]ny witness designated either as a trial witness by brief statement or as a witness by declaration shall be made available for deposition as requested." (Supporting Declaration of Michael C. Hughes ("Hughes Decl."), ¶2, Ex. A [Order at 4:2-4].)

On June 20, 2013, AFSCME first informed the City that it intended to call Carol Garcia and Peggy Horning as trial witnesses. (Hughes Decl.,¶3, Ex. B.) On June 24, 2013, the City noticed the deposition of Ms. Garcia for July 1, 2013 and of Ms. Horning for July 8, 2013 at 1:00 p.m. (Id. at ¶4, Ex. C.) AFSCME responded that its counsel was not available and requested that all depositions be noticed for later dates. (Id. at ¶5, Ex. D.)

The City subsequently provided several dates for depositions. (Hughes Decl.,¶6, 7, Exs. E, F.)

In response, AFSCME informed that City that: "Carol Garcia is out of town and unavailable starting tomorrow (June 28) through July 19." (Hughes Decl., ¶8, Ex. G [June 27, 2013, Email from AFSCME attorney V. Soroushian].) Friday, July 19th is the last business day before the Monday, July 22nd trial.

AFSCME subsequently refused to make Peggy Horning available until the week before trial. (Hughes Decl., ¶11, Ex. J [July 2, 2013, Email from AFSCME attorney V. Soroushian].)

Discussion

The Court ordered the parties to make their trial witnesses available for deposition as requested, and AFSCME has refused to timely produce Ms. Garcia and Ms. Horning. Consequently, they Court should exclude them from testifying at trial. If information is requested in discovery and not provided, that information cannot be offered into evidence at trial. Thoren v Johnston & Washer, 29 Cal.App.3d 270 (1972); Deeter v. Angus, 29 Cal.App.3d 270 (1972). Notably, those cases provide that the party seeking exclusion need not have moved to compel

1	production. Those cases stand for the proposition of basic fairness. A party cannot use evidence			
2	2 at trial that is relevant and requested during disco	at trial that is relevant and requested during discovery, but which was not produced.		
3	Furthermore, AFSCME's refusal to timel	y produce Ms. Garcia and Ms. Horning violates		
4	4 this Court's April 23, 2013 Order (requiring part	this Court's April 23, 2013 Order (requiring parties to produce witness "as requested") and is		
5	5 objectionable on that basis as well.			
6	6			
7	7 DATED: July 3, 2013 Respects	ully submitted,		
8	8 MEYER	S, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON		
9	9	A /		
10		Marth		
11	Art	nur A. Hartinger		
12	Jen	Linda M. Ross Jennifer L. Nock		
13	Atte	hael C. Hughes orneys for Defendant and Cross-Plaintiff		
14		of San Jose and Defendant Debra Figone, ler Official Capacity		
15	15			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20		·		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	<u> </u>			
26				
27	2 /			

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not** a **party to this action**. I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. My business address is 555 12th Street, Suite 1500, Oakland, CA 94607.

On July 3, 2013, 1 served true copies of the following documents described as **DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN JOSE'S SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE AFSCME WITNESSES CAROL GARCIA AND PEGGY HORNING FROM TESTIFYING AT TRIAL** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to be sent from e-mail address kthomas@meyersnave.com to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 3, 2013, at Oakland, California.

Jilala Foley

SERVICE LIST

1	'	SERVICE EIGI
2	John McBride Christopher E, Platten	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Petitioners, ROBERT SAPIEN, MARY MCCARTHY, THANH HO, RANDY
3	Mark S. Renner WYLIE, MCBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER	SEKANY AND KEN HEREDIA (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV225928)
4	2125 Canoas Garden Ave, Suite 120 San Jose, CA 95125	AND
5	Telephone: 408-979-2920 Fax: 408-989-0932	Plaintiffs/Petitioners, JOHN MUKHAR, DALE DAPP,
6	E-Mail: jmcbride@wmprlaw.com	JAMES ATKINS, WILLIAM BUFFINGTON AND KIRK PENNINGTON
7 8	cplatten@wmprlaw.com mrenner@wmprlaw.com	(Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV226574)
0		AND
9		Plaintiffs/Petitioners, TERESA HARRIS, JON REGER, MOSES SERRANO
10		(Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV226570)
11	Gregg McLean Adam	Attorneys for Plaintiff, SAN JOSE POLICE
12	Jonathan Yank Gonzalo Martinez	OFFICERS' ASSOC. (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV225926)
13	Jennifer Stoughton Amber L. West	
14	CARROLL, BURDICK & MCDONOUGH, LLP	
15	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104	
16	Telephone: 415-989-5900 Fax: 415-989-0932	
17	E-Mail: gadam@cbmlaw.com	
18	jyank@cbmlaw.com gmartinez@cbmlaw.com	
19	jstoughton@cbmlaw.com awest@cbmlaw.com	
20	Toogua D. Batana	Diginties AESCME LOCAL 101
21	Teague P. Paterson Vishtap M. Soroushian DEESON TAVED & DODING	Plaintiff, AFSCME LOCAL 101 (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV227864)
22	BEESON, TAYER & BODINE, APC	
23	Ross House, 2nd Floor 483 Ninth Street	
24	Oakland, CA 94607-4050 Telephone: 510-625-9700	
25	Fax: 510-625-8275 E-Mail:	
26	tpaterson@beesontayer.com; vsoroushian@beesontayer.com;	
27		

	ļ				
1		Harvey L. Leiderman Jeffrey R. Rieger REED SMITH, LLP	Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOSE, BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT RETIREMENT PLAN OF		
2		101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105	CITY OF SAN JOSE (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV225926)		
3		Telephone: 415-659-5914 Fax: 415-391-8269	AND		
4		E-Mail: hleiderman@reedsmith.com;	Necessary Party in Interest, THE BOARD OF		
5		jreiger@reedsmith.com	ADMINISTRATION FOR THE 1961 SAN JOSE POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT RETIREMENT		
6			PLAN (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV225928)		
7			AND		
8					
9			Necessary Party in Interest, THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR THE 1975 FEDERATED		
10			CITY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN (Santa Clara Superior Court Case Nos. 112CV226570		
11			and 112CV226574)		
12			AND		
13			Necessary Party in Interest, THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR THE FEDERATED CITY		
14			EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT PLAN (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 112CV227864)		
15					
16		Stephen H. Silver, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Petitioners		
17		Richard A. Levine, Esq. Jacob A. Kalinski, Esq.	SAN JOSE RETIRED EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, HOWARD E. FLEMING, DONALD S. MACRAE,		
18		Silver, Hadden, Silver, Wexler & Levine	FRANCES J. OLSON, GARY J. RICHERT AND ROSALINDA NAVARRO		
19		1428 Second Street, Suite 200 P.O. Box 2161	(Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 1-12-ev-233660)		
20		Santa Monica, California 90401			
21					
22					
23	2	105205.1			
24					